

PEI Envirothon

Judges' Scoring Sheet for Oral Presentations



Scale for Scoring: 0=not at all 6=good or fairly well
2=poor or poorly 8=excellent or very well
4=fair or slightly well 10=outstanding

Team Number _____
Order Number _____ Time Slot _____
Judge's Name _____

Part I: Presentation Content and Inclusiveness (40 points maximum)		Circle Score
A. How well did the presentation address or identify:		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The interrelationship between the environment, natural resources, and different natural resource management strategies? All the different players/interest groups affected by the problem? The major natural resources areas (aquatics, forestry, soils, water,)? The current Envirothon theme issue? The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem? 		0 2 4 6 8 10
B. Were references and resources cited in the team presentation?		0 1 2 3 4 5
		Part I Subtotal:
Part II Application of Data (80 points maximum)		
A. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community).		0 2 4 6 8 10
B. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of the ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem.		0 2 4 6 8 10
C. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost and benefits of the proposed plan, (cost of implementing the plan, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, future costs, funding source(s), etc.)		0 2 4 6 8 10
D. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/or cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice).		0 2 4 6 8 10
E. The team presented one viable, rational solution to the problem addressing the resource issue.		0 2 4 6 8 10
F. Funding sources other than government were identified		0 2 4 6 8 10
G. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural resources.		0 2 4 6 8 10
H. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues?		0 2 4 6 8 10
		Part II Subtotal:
PART III Quality of the Presentation (60 points maximum)		
A. Presentation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Strong opening Logical presentation of evidence Rational conclusion based on evidence presented 		0 2 4 6 8 10
B. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc.)		0 2 4 6 8 10
C. Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids should be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.)		0 2 4 6 8 10
D. Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating.		0 2 4 6 8 10
		Part III Subtotal:
Part IV Teamwork (20 points)		
A. Add up to ten points for team member participants in presentation. (Each team member gets up to 2 points for equal oral participation in presentation.)		0 2 4 6 8 10
B. Add up to five points if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time scale and the team made effective use of their time. (Time scale is max. 12 mins.)		0 1 2 3 4 5
C. Add up to five points if the team demonstrated mutual respect and support		0 1 2 3 4 5
		Part IV Subtotal:
Time Point System		FINAL SCORE:
5 points if 10 to 12 minutes		Total Points for Part I (40 max) _____
4 points if 9 to 10 minutes		Total Points for Part II (80 max) _____
3 points if 8 to 9 minutes		Total Points for Part III (60 max) _____
2 points if 7 to 8 minutes		Total Points for Part IV (20 max) _____
1 point if 6 to 7 minutes		Total Score: (200) _____